

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,016	12/21/2004	Richard Schmidt	52201-0631	3200	
28481 TIAJOLOFF &	7590 07/01/200 KELLY	EXAM	EXAMINER		
CHRYSLER BUILDING, 37TH FLOOR			DEHGHAN,	DEHGHAN, QUEENIE S	
405 LEXINGT NEW YORK, 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tusti Tolling			1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) SCHMIDT ET AL. 10/519,016 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

	Q	deenle Denghan	1791					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extraosors of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set of resply with the set of resply with the set of the set of period of the set								
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 April 2	<u>2008</u> .						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This act	tion is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance	except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the	merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.						
Applicati	tion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pric	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received in Appli	cation No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been rec	eived in this National	Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	he certified copies not rece	eived.					
Attachmen	nt(s)							

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/DE)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/21/2004.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: ___

Application/Control Number: 10/519,016 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Flection/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-8 in the reply filed on April 28, 2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the apparatus of the present invention can only be used to practice the method as claimed. The applicant further points to MPEP 806.05(e). This is not found persuasive because the basis for the restriction was not on the grounds that the apparatus can use be used to practice another method, but instead on PCT Rule 13.1 wherein the special technical feature of the two groups of claims do not contribute over the prior art, as presented in the restriction requirement.
- Additionally, the applicant has elected Group I, but indicated in claims 1-13 in the
 cover letter and claims 1-8 in the remarks. This is believed to be a typographical error.
 Group I remains to be claims 1-8, as indicated by the restriction requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

3. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81(c). No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/519,016 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites a media nozzle of the deposition burner that tapers. It is unclear which of the nozzle or the whole burner tapers.

7 Ole in Francisco the Politica Blue and in a sec

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the working gas nozzle" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rau et al. (4,162,908). Regarding claim 1, Rau et al. disclose a method for glass preform using a plasma burner, the method comprising supplying a hydrogen-free media flow comprising SiCl₄ and oxygen to a multi-nozzle deposition burner and focusing the media flow into a plasma zone wherein the SiCl₄ is oxidized to form SiO₂ particles and depositing the SiO₂ particles on a surface while being vitrified (col. 1 line 64 to col. 2 line

Application/Control Number: 10/519,016 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

22, line 59, col. 4 lines 24-27, figures 1 and 2). Regarding claim 8, Rau discloses a class starting material that contains a fluorine-containing component (col. 2 lines 56-29).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 12. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rau et al. (4,162,908), as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Fornsel et al. (2002/0179575). Rau et al. disclose a multi-nozzle burner, but fail to disclose a media nozzle of the deposition burner that tapers in the direction of the plasma zone. Fornsel teaches a plasma nozzle that is tapered in the direction of the plasma zone (figures 1-3, [0016]). Although the plasma nozzle of Fornsel is used for a different purpose, Fornsel does teach such a shape nozzle is desired in a plasma burner to assist in focusing the plasma. It would

Art Unit: 1791

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized a nozzle that is tapered for the media flow in the deposition burner of Rau in order to allow for a more focused flow of media to the plasma zone.

13. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rau et al. in view of Fornsel et al., as applied to claim 2, in further view of Edahiro et al. (4,402,720). Regarding claims 3 and 4, Rau teaches media flow that is enveloped with oxygen working gas since it already well mixed in with the media flow (col. 3 lines 15-25, 53-64. Rau also teaches flowing oxygen from a first gas nozzle of the deposition burner (col. 3 lines 15-25). Although the oxygen from the first gas nozzle does envelope the media flow, Rau also mentions the working gas has been supplied through the media nozzle. Edahiro teaches a plasma burner comprising of multiple nozzles, wherein a glass starting material flows from a media nozzle and an oxygen-containing working gas flows from a first working gas nozzle such that the oxygen envelops the media flow. Also supplying the working gas separate to allow for the formation of Si-N bonds first before Si-O bonds in the case of depositing nitrogen doped silica particles (col. 6 lines 31-65, fig 3a). Although not specifically disclosed, it would be reasonable to expect that the first working gas nozzle of Edahiro functions as a diffuser since it disperse the oxygen containing working gas such that the glass starting material and working gas are combined to form the glass particles (col. 9 lines 40-44). Additionally, Fornsel teaches introducing an oxygen-containing working gas in a turbulent manner so as diffuse the working gas within the burner ([0016], [0021]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have adapted a

Art Unit: 1791

first working gas nozzle for distributing a oxygen-containing working gas that envelops the glass starting material from the media nozzle in the process of Rau because supplying oxygen working gas from a separate nozzle allows for the desired incorporation of dopants such as nitrogen in the silica glass, as taught by Edahiro. Also, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have also flowed the working gas in a turbulent manner from a nozzle that functions as a diffuser in order to ensure the oxidation reaction of the glass starting material, by the ample diffusion of the working gas into the glass starting material.

- 14. Regarding claim 5, Rau wherein when exiting from the working gas nozzle the working gas flow is enveloped by at least one oxygen- containing separating gas flow exiting from an annular gap nozzle coaxially surrounding the working gas nozzle (col. 3 lines 15-25, 60-64, col. 4 lines 11-15, figures 1 and 2).
- 15. Regarding claim 6, Rau discloses producing a plasma zone by a high-frequency excitation inside a burner tube (12) into which a mixture of media flow and working gas flow is introduced (col. 3 lines 15-25, 60-62).
- 16. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rau et al. (4,162,908), as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Gouskov et al. (6,535,240). Rau et al. disclose supplying a glass starting material such as SiCl₄, but uses oxygen as a carrier gas. Gouskov et al. teaches a plasma vapor deposition process using a glass starting material, such as SiCl₄ also, and a carrier gas, wherein the carrier gas can alternatively be oxygen or nitrogen (col. 6 lines 25-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized nitrogen gas as

Art Unit: 1791

a carrier gas for the glass starting material as an alternative carrier gas in the process of Rau because Gouskov has demonstrated that it is known in the art and it equally serves to deliver the glass starting material as oxygen does.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Queenie Dehghan whose telephone number is (571)272-8209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steven P. Griffin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791

Page 8

Art Unit: 1791

Q Dehghan